

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,968	07/07/2003	Takao Miyazaki	Q76146	4709
7:	590 03/24/2005	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			NOLAN JR, CHARLES H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2854		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/612,968	MIYAZAKI, TAKAO	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles H. Nolan, Jr.	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv 		e final raisetien, whichour	rio latar In na
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	e final rejection, whicheve f the final rejection.	er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	RST REPLY WAS FILE	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPP Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered I	necause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re-	icated alaims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicat has argued that the Examiner should have give Applicant further argues that the wherein clause contain disagrees. The invention of Claim 21 is a bag. While the the bag. Applicant argues that the bag of Kimura is not bend over or double up so that one part lies on top of ar published in 1994). The bag of Kimura is clearly bent or	en the language recited after "where is additional "features" not elsewhe is "mark" is a feature of a bag, what folded as recited in Claim 25. The conther part" (see Webster's II New	ein" in Claim 21 pater re found in the claim. the mark does is not common definition of Riverside University [ntable weight. The Exmainer a "feature" of "folded" is "to Dictionary

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

figure 2.

13. Other: ____.

Continuation Sheet (P	ΙO	L-303)	۱
-----------------------	----	--------	---

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 031905